




United Nations Environment
World Conservation Monitoring Centre

PROTEUS ANNUAL MEETING

20th – 22nd June 2018, David Attenborough Building, Cambridge, UK





BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT POST 2020



UN
environment



United Nations Environment
World Conservation Monitoring Centre

THE ROAD TO 2020 – IMPLICATIONS FOR EXTRACTIVES

Jerry Harrison, Principal Technical Specialist (Conventions and Policy Support), UNEP-WCMC

20/06/2018

Convention on Biological Diversity




What is the Convention?

- Principal intergovernmental agreement concerning biodiversity and ecosystem services
- 195 states and the European Union are all party to the agreement
- Objective is the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and ecosystem services
- Governance is through the 'Conference of the Parties' which currently takes place every two years

Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020

The strategic plan provides...

- Long term (2050) vision for biodiversity and ecosystem services based on “living in harmony with nature”
- Framework of 20 “Aichi Biodiversity Targets” setting ambitions for the period up to 2020
- Guidance and encouragement to national governments in developing and implementing their own plans
- Basis for assessing progress in implementation of the convention and achievement of objectives




Post-2020 global biodiversity framework

In 2020...

- The timeframe for the 20 Aichi Biodiversity Targets will have been completed
- There will be major review of the progress made in addressing these targets
- A post-2020 global biodiversity framework of some form will be negotiated and agreed




Post-2020 timeline



Post-2020 preparatory process

Draft proposal...

- Addresses guidance already provided by the COP and SBSSTA at earlier meetings
- Draws on experience from developing the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020
- Benefits from inputs already made by Parties and other stakeholders, including comments on an earlier draft



The image shows the cover page of a draft proposal document. At the top left, there are logos for UNEP and the Convention on Biological Diversity. The title 'Convention on Biological Diversity' is prominently displayed. To the right, it indicates the document is 'Dist: GENERAL', 'Date: 30 May 2018', and 'Original: ENGLISH'. The main title of the document is 'PROPOSALS FOR A COMPREHENSIVE AND PARTICIPATORY PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK'. Below this, it is noted as a 'Note by the Executive Secretary' and 'BACKGROUND'. The text includes three numbered paragraphs discussing the context of the 2050 Vision, the 2030 Agenda, and the preparatory process for the post-2020 framework. Footnotes at the bottom provide additional context and references to related documents and meetings.

CBD

Dist:
GENERAL

CBD/SBI/2/17
30 May 2018

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

**Convention on
Biological Diversity**

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION
Second meeting
Montreal, Canada, 9-13 July 2018
Item 16 of the provisional agenda*

**PROPOSALS FOR A COMPREHENSIVE AND PARTICIPATORY PROCESS FOR THE
PREPARATION OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK**

Note by the Executive Secretary

BACKGROUND

1. The Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting, in 2010, is expected to consider for adoption the [post-2020 global biodiversity framework](#).¹ This will be done in the context of the 2050 Vision of "Living in Harmony with Nature" of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020,² the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development,³ other relevant international processes while taking into account global trends that have an impact on biodiversity and ecosystems.

2. The Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth meeting requested the Executive Secretary to prepare, in consultation with the Bureau and for consideration by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation (SBI) at its second meeting, a proposal for a comprehensive and participatory preparatory process and timetable for the follow-up to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, taking into consideration that this work must cover the Convention on Biological Diversity and also consider its Protocols (decision [XIII/1](#), para. 34). The present document has been issued in response to this request.

3. In the preparation of the present document, following discussions at a joint meeting of the Bureaux of the Conference of the Parties and the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice,⁴ a notification⁵ was issued inviting Parties, other Governments, relevant

* [CBD/SBI/2/1](#).

¹ The term "framework" is used in the present document so as not to prejudice a decision by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization as to what form the follow-up to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 will take.

² See decision [X/2](#).

³ General Assembly resolution [70/1](#) of 25 September 2015 entitled "Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development", annex.




⁴ The meeting was held in Mexico City on 25 March 2017. The minutes are available at <https://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/con-2017-03/2017-con-cp-2017-minutes-en.pdf>.

⁵ Notification [SCBD/OES/DC/2017/09/13](#). By 6 November 2017, responses had been received from Canada, Egypt, the European Union, Japan, Mexico, Norway, Alianza Mexicana por la Biodiversidad, B.O.L. evolution, the Biodiversity Indicators Partnership, BirdLife International, the CBD Women's Caucus, Conservation International, the Forest Peoples Programme, the Global Forest Coalition and Community Conservation Resilience Initiative, the Global Partnership for Plant Conservation, International Union for Conservation of Nature, MedPAN, Pro Natura, United Nations Environment Programme, UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre, the World Wildlife Fund and Philip Bulbo. All of the submissions are available at <https://www.cbd.int/2020/submissions.shtml>.

Post-2020 preparatory process

Key context...

- 2050 Vision already adopted by the Convention in 2010
- Importance of coherence with other intergovernmental processes
- In particular links to:
 - development
 - disaster risk reduction
 - climate change
 - land degradation



Post-2020 preparatory process

Overarching principles...





Post-2020 preparatory process

Activities...

- Formal consideration
- Global, regional and sectoral workshops
- Consultations at relevant meetings
- Encouraging third party meetings
- Outreach to encourage participation
- Mobilizing political buy-in



<https://post2020.unep-wcmc.org>




Post-2020 preparatory process

Key information sources...

- Inputs from all stakeholders
- National strategies and reports
- Reviews of progress in implementation
- Biodiversity Indicators Partnership
- Assessments from IPBES and others
- Input from other process
- Literature



“I think you should be more explicit here in step two.”



IPBES assessments

The methodological assessment report on **SCENARIOS AND MODELS OF BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM SERVICES**

SUMMARY FOR POLICYMAKERS

The assessment report on **POLLINATORS, POLLINATION AND FOOD PRODUCTION**

SUMMARY FOR POLICYMAKERS

<p>IPBES/6/15/Add.1 Annex</p>	<p>IPBES/6/15/Add.5 Annex</p> <p>Summary for policymakers of the thematic assessment report on land degradation and restoration of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services</p>	
<p>Summary biodiversity Intergovernmental Ecosystem</p> <p>Authors:¹ Emma Archer Milangony (co- Mababaja Ani (South Africa), Maritew Chin Pierre Faller (China, United</p>	<p>IPBES/6/15/Add.3 Annex</p> <p>Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Asia and the Pacific of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services</p> <p>Authors: Madhav Karki (co-chair, Nepal), Soumi Senarath Sellamutti (co-chair, Sri Lanka),</p>	<p>ca Mountanrella (co-chair, Italy/FAO), y (United States of America), Ben van Buren (the es of America), Bened Erasmus (South Africa), Judith ngdoms of Great Britain and Northern Ireland/Sweden), er (Brazil, France), Janis S. Koonin (Finland), Nangendo (Uganda), Ram Prasad (Nepal), John Parrott ts (United States of America), Stephen Prince on (India), Louise Willemse (the Netherlands).¹</p>
<p>IPBES/6/15/Add.4 Annex</p>	<p>Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Europe and Central Asia of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services</p> <p>Authors:¹ Markus Fischer (co-chair, Switzerland, Germany), Mark Rounsevell (co-chair, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland/Germany), Amor Torre-Martín Rando (IPBES), André Mäder (IPBES), Andrew Church (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Marine Elbakidze (Ukraine, Sweden), Victoré Elias (Russian Federation), Thomas Hahn (Sweden), Parula A. Harrison (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Jennifer Hünck (Germany), Biern Martin-Lopez (Spain/Germany), Heng Fung (Germany), Camilla Sandström (Sweden), Isabel Sousa Pinto (Formosa), Piero Vinciguerra (Italy/United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Niklaus E. Zimmermann (Switzerland), Mike Christie (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland).</p> <p>Experts having provided support to authors of the summary for policymakers: Sandra Bruce (Spain), Rodolphe Gozlan (France), Avelina Helm (Bulgaria), Sandra Lavorel (France), Oksana Lipka (Russian Federation), Matthias Schröter (Germany), Mark Spehn (the Netherlands/Switzerland), Vigdis Vandvik (Norway), Alexander P. E. van Oudenhooven (the Netherlands).</p> <p>Suggested citation: IPBES (2018). Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Europe and Central Asia of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. M. Fischer, M. Rounsevell, A. Torre-Martín Rando, A. Mäder, A. Church, M. Elbakidze, V. Elias, T. Hahn, P.A. Harrison, J. Hünck, B. Martin-Lopez, I. Ring, C. Sandström, I. Sousa Pinto, P. Vinciguerra and M. Christie (eds.). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. [1] pages.</p> <p>Members of the management committee who provided guidance for the production of this assessment: Fuzaila Nourizky, Marie Stenseth (Multidisciplinary Expert Panel), Senka Baranovskic, Robert T. Watson (Bureau).</p> <p>The designations employed and the presentation of material on the maps used in this report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IPBES concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.</p> <p>These maps have been prepared for the sole purpose of facilitating the assessment of the broad biogeographical areas represented therein.</p>	<p>assessment report on Americas of the on Biodiversity and</p> <p>Summary for policymakers: Yusuo Takahashi (Japan).</p> <p>Summary for policymakers: Christopher B. Anderson New Zealand, Mercedes Antonio Diaz-de-León (Mexico), es (Colombia/Germany), Cecilia Bernal Herrera (Costa Rica), Brian Ostua (Bolivia/United States), and Sampaio Fariñas (Brazil).¹</p> <p>Summary report on biodiversity and Science-Policy Platforms on Biodiversity M. Bedoya-Gaitán, N. Valderrama, res, A. Diaz-de-León, S. Fariñas, ant, J.P. Ojeda, V. Rodríguez Ostua, st. Bonn, Germany. [1] pages.</p> <p>Summary for the production of this Multidisciplinary Expert Panel,</p> <p>The maps used in the present report do of IPBES concerning the legal status erms the delimitation of its frontiers se of facilitating the assessment of</p>
<p>2</p>	<p>Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Asia and the Pacific of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services</p> <p>Authors: Madhav Karki (co-chair, Nepal), Soumi Senarath Sellamutti (co-chair, Sri Lanka),</p>	<p>Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Europe and Central Asia of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services</p> <p>Authors:¹ Markus Fischer (co-chair, Switzerland, Germany), Mark Rounsevell (co-chair, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland/Germany), Amor Torre-Martín Rando (IPBES), André Mäder (IPBES), Andrew Church (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Marine Elbakidze (Ukraine, Sweden), Victoré Elias (Russian Federation), Thomas Hahn (Sweden), Parula A. Harrison (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Jennifer Hünck (Germany), Biern Martin-Lopez (Spain/Germany), Heng Fung (Germany), Camilla Sandström (Sweden), Isabel Sousa Pinto (Formosa), Piero Vinciguerra (Italy/United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Niklaus E. Zimmermann (Switzerland), Mike Christie (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland).</p> <p>Experts having provided support to authors of the summary for policymakers: Sandra Bruce (Spain), Rodolphe Gozlan (France), Avelina Helm (Bulgaria), Sandra Lavorel (France), Oksana Lipka (Russian Federation), Matthias Schröter (Germany), Mark Spehn (the Netherlands/Switzerland), Vigdis Vandvik (Norway), Alexander P. E. van Oudenhooven (the Netherlands).</p> <p>Suggested citation: IPBES (2018). Summary for policymakers of the regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Europe and Central Asia of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. M. Fischer, M. Rounsevell, A. Torre-Martín Rando, A. Mäder, A. Church, M. Elbakidze, V. Elias, T. Hahn, P.A. Harrison, J. Hünck, B. Martin-Lopez, I. Ring, C. Sandström, I. Sousa Pinto, P. Vinciguerra and M. Christie (eds.). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. [1] pages.</p> <p>Members of the management committee who provided guidance for the production of this assessment: Fuzaila Nourizky, Marie Stenseth (Multidisciplinary Expert Panel), Senka Baranovskic, Robert T. Watson (Bureau).</p> <p>The designations employed and the presentation of material on the maps used in this report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IPBES concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.</p> <p>These maps have been prepared for the sole purpose of facilitating the assessment of the broad biogeographical areas represented therein.</p>

IPBES assessments

Global assessment...

- Will be completed in May 2019, timed to contribute to post-2020 process
- Is currently available for review both as technical report and summary
- Details of review process at: https://www.ipbes.net/global_sod



Why is post-2020 global biodiversity framework relevant?

The framework is expected to...


Provide context, direction and targets for national and international action on biodiversity up to 2030

Influence national policy and action with respect to biodiversity and ecosystem services


Place biodiversity in the context of other relevant global agendas, ***increasing coherence in national action***

Provide a framework for action by stakeholders at all levels and in all relevant sectors

Post-2020 timeline



Post-2020 timeline





UN Environment
World Conservation Monitoring Centre

www.unep-wcmc.org
[@unepwcmc](https://twitter.com/unepwcmc)

Jerry Harrison

jerry.harrison@unep-wcmc.org



United Nations Environment
World Conservation Monitoring Centre

PROTEUS ANNUAL MEETING

20th – 22nd June 2018, David Attenborough Building, Cambridge, UK

